UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,264	07/18/2003	Chia-Hua Chou	MTKP0720USA	3497	
		03/17/2009 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION		INER	
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116		HALEY, JOSEPH R			
WERRIFIELD,	⁴ IELD, VA 22116		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/17/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/623,264	CHOU ET AL.				
merview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JOSEPH HALEY	2627				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>JOSEPH HALEY</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Scott Margo</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>04 March 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	r)∏ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 8</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Gushima et al. (US 2001/0038586)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \boxtimes was not reached. h) \square N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner agreed with applicant 's arguments that Gushima et al. teaches testing an electrical channel between the diode driver and the diode. The examiner stated that a new ground of rejection would be necessary. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Joseph Haley/						